## **Pitcheroak School**

# **Use of the Pupil Premium in 2017/18**

Pupil Premium allocation, use and impact on attainment

Number of pupils and pupil premium grant (PPG) received 2017/18	
Total number of pupils on roll based on January '17 census	141
Total number of pupils eligible for PPG	47
Total number of pupils eligible for PPG who are LAC	6
Total number of pupils who are Service Children (Ever 6)	1
	£1320 per Primary Child (21 x Children)
Amount of PPG received per pupil	£935 per Secondary Child (26 x Children)
	£300 per Service Child (1 x Children)
LAC funding	£500 per term per LAC child (WCC and Birmingham) (4 x children)  £400 per term per LAC Luton (2 x children)
Total amount of PPG received including LAC funding	£57,730

## Nature of support 2017/18 against planned use of the Pupil Premium Grant

Cognition and Learning Difficulties/Communication Difficulties

Learning mentors

Estimated costs for 50% of intervention - £15,884.50

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
Learning Mentor continues to	Case studies indicate progress	Audit the inclusion programme
undertake both internal and	measure against EHCP	to develop a package of
external school links. Inclusion	outcomes. Evaluations indicate	support for primary partners
database established. Targeted	that opportunities for children	with colourful semantics as the
inclusion proformas have been	have been devised to develop	focus. Further develop
developed for staff in	skills such as cooperation;	enhanced learners programme
mainstream and Pitcheroak to	confidence; self-esteem; social	for PP children, to accelerate
use for identification of	interaction and negotiating	progress, particularly in Maths.
inclusion/ intervention	skills have improved. SLT and	Interventions to focus on
opportunities. Allocated	Governors have monitored in-	raising attainment in English.
timetable sessions for	year progress and attainment	
inclusion/ intervention. School	of pupils receiving	
car purchased to support	intervention/ inclusion. 100%	
inclusion work.	of PP students achieved	
	expected or above expected	
	progress in English and Maths,	
	at the end of all Key Stages. A	
	higher percentage of PP	
	students made above expected	
	progress in English at the end	
	of Key Stage 1, compared to	
	non PP. A higher percentage of	
	PP students made above	
	expected progress in English	
	and Maths at the end of Key	
	stages 2 and 3, compared to	
	non PP.	

### Independent skills

**Enrichment Activities** 

Estimated costs - £4,000

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
PP students are financially	100% of PP students accessed	All enrichment activities will be
supported to participate in	3 or more enrichment	formally evaluated on a termly
residential and enrichment	activities during 2017-18. The	basis to measured success
activities at centres such as	visits are planned and focussed	criteria against learning
Dodford Farm, Stourport and	to develop independence,	outcomes.

1			
	Kingswood. Pupils are	confidence and self-esteem.	
	provided with several	We work closely with	
	enrichment activities across	individual students to address	
	each term such as visits to	their termly IEP targets and	
	National Trust places, Theatre	track and monitor success	
	trips, Severn Valley Railway	criteria when on residential.	
	and Cadbury World.	Attitudes to learning have	
		been assessed and the self-	
		evaluation has been good and	
		outstanding across the school.	
		Incidents of negative	
		behaviour for PP students have	
		decreased by 46% and the gap	
		in attendance between PP and	
		non PP students has closed	
		slightly through the year,	
1	I .	I .	

indicating increased levels of enjoyment and participation.

## **Engagement of learners**

Lunchtime and afterschool clubs

Estimated costs - £7,872

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
A range of after school clubs	Social skills have increased for	To broaden the range of after
have been provided	pupils with SLD and complex	school clubs on offer by using
throughout the year for all Key	needs though a play-based	teaching assistants to deliver
stages.	approach to after school clubs.	clubs, using staff specialist
	Students have been provided	subjects or areas of interest.
	with a range of different	
	activities to develop social	
	skills and nurture relationships	
	with the adults that they are	
	working with. 28% of our	
	students accessed at least one	
	after school club over the	
	course of the academic year,	
	45% of whom were PP. This is	
	a 10% increase from the	
	previous year when 35.5% of	
	those accessing after school	
	clubs were PP.	

## Hard to reach families

Educational welfare package

Estimated costs - £466

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
Once a term meetings with the	Attendance data is broadly	Persistent absentee work is
Educational Welfare Officer to	average, although higher when	on-going – the majority are
discuss attendance issues. The	compared to our special school	Pupil Premium. The school will
EWO has undertaken a range	counterparts. April '17 – April	continue to use the services of
of activities to support our	'18 = 92.09%	the EWO to support the
hard to reach families by		school. The school will
attending EHCP meetings,	Special school data 2016-17 =	advertise for a Family Support
home visits and parent	90.3%	Worker to further develop this
meetings where applicable.		work.

## Mental Health Issues

Therapies

Estimated costs - £15,272

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
Additional therapies have been	80% of students that received	To continue to provide access
provided to students, including	music therapy were PP and	to students requiring
access to individual and group	87% of students that received	additional therapies through
appointments with our 'well-	drama therapy were PP. Both	the internal referral system. To
being councillor', as well as	therapists provide reports to	work with the music therapist
music and drama therapy led	update the school on progress	to develop a quantitative
by specialist therapists, to	during sessions. Based on	measure to score progress
support student's social,	quantitative measures	during sessions, similar to that
emotional and mental health.	employed by the drama	of the music therapist.
	therapist, on average students	
	increased their individual	
	scores by 3 points against 6	
	measures, including; eye	
	contact, confidence,	
	communication, interaction,	
	attitude and smiles, indicating	
	a positive outcome for their	
	individual mental health and	
	academic achievements.	

#### <u>Sensory impairment – complex needs</u>

ICT

Estimated costs - £7,000

Progress to date	Impact	Further Actions
The school has undergone	Students benefit from a total	Purchase 2 sets of 13 iPads.
major upgrades in the ICT	communication environment	Purchase 3 interactive panels
sector. A reliable base of core	with access to a range of	for classrooms. Further
systems and services is now in	software and hardware to	develop a communication
place. Due to this we are now	promote communication skills.	strategy that audits resources.
in a better position to provide	100% PP students made	
a more tailored learning	expected or above expected	
experience for complex needs	progress in Speaking and	
pupils. 4 x new CTouch 65"	Listening at the end of all Key	
Laser Air and interactive LED	Stages.	
Touch Screens are now in		
place in complex classrooms.		

#### **Pupil Outcomes March 2017-March 2018**

Individual tracking grids of individual PP pupils is available upon request from the school office.

Data is based on end of year (July 2017)

#### **Key Stage 1**

2 pupils	Pupil Premium		
	Below expected Expected progress Above expected		
	progress		progress
English	0%	50% (1 pupil)	50% (1 pupil)
Maths	0%	50% (1 pupil)	50% (1 pupil)

6 pupils	Non Pupil Premium		
	Below expected Expected progress Above expected		Above expected
	progress		progress
English	33% (2 students)	33% (2 students)	33% (2 students)
Maths	17% (1 pupil)	17% (1 pupil)	66% (4 pupils)

- All PP students made expected or above expected progress in English and Maths at the end of Key Stage 1.
- A higher percentage of PP students made above expected progress in English at the end of Key Stage 1, compared to Non PP, although numbers are very small.

## **Key Stage 2**

10 pupils	Pupil Premium		
	Below expected Expected progress Above expected		
	progress		progress
English	0%	60% (6 pupils)	40% (4 pupils)
Maths	0%	20% (2 pupils)	80% (8 pupils)

4 pupils	Non Pupil Premium		
	Below expected Expected progress Above expected		
	progress		progress
English	0%	100% (4 pupils)	0%
Maths	0%	75% (3 pupils)	25% (1 pupil)

- All PP students made expected or above expected progress in English and Maths at the end of Key Stage 2.
- A higher percentage of PP students made above expected progress in English and Maths at the end of Key stage 2, compared to Non PP with Maths being an area of strength.

#### **Key Stage 3**

3 pupils		Pupil Premium		
	Below expected	Expected progress	Above expected	
	progress		progress	
English	0%	67% (2 pupils)	33% (1 pupil)	
Maths	0%	0%	100% (3 pupils)	

9 pupils	Non Pupil Premium		
	Below expected	Expected progress	Above expected
	progress		progress
English	0%	44% (4 pupils	55% (5 pupils)
Maths	0%	33% (3 pupils)	66% (6 pupils)

- All PP students made expected progress in English and above expected progress in Maths at the end of Key Stage 3.
- A higher percentage of PP students made above expected progress in Maths at the end of Key Stage 3, compared to Non PP.

As a school we do not target set for Reception age students or those in KS4. However, there were 0 PP pupils in Reception for this cohort. In KS4, 7 pupils were PP - 100% of students achieved their Personal Progress qualification; 80% achieved English and 100% achieved maths.